	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/773,387	SWAINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	J. Derek Rutten	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>J. Derek Rutten</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Lastova, Reg. No. 33,149</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 &amp; 16 March 2006</u>	Time: <u>9:45</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 13 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
/Fuominar/ODE Cinneture)	innat/Analisantia Danasa ( "	Cinneture if annualists
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Sought approval for amending a typo in claim 14. Mr. Lastova agreed to change and occurrence of the word "the" to --then-in the last clause of the claim. In a subsequent interview on 3/16/06, it was agreed to amend claim 13 (modify "computer program product" to be encoded on a recordable medium) to avoid possible 101 issues...